2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 ROBERT J. DILLON, 7 Case No. 2:20-cv-02319-APG-NJK Plaintiff(s), 8 Order v. 9 [Docket No. 48] CORRECTION CORPORATION OF 10 AMERICA, et al., 11 Defendant(s). Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to "Admit Additional Discovery." Docket 12 13 No. 48. Defendants filed a response in opposition. Docket No. 51. No reply was filed. The Court does not require a hearing. See Local Rule 78-1. 15 Although unclear, it appears that Plaintiff is seeking to lodge documents with the Court 16 that he believes could undermine Defendants' expert. See Docket No. 48 at 3-4. The Court has 17 already rejected this practice in this case: 18 The Court's docket is not a repository for miscellaneous documents that a litigant believes may support his claims. To the extent 19 Plaintiff has documents that he needs to share as discovery or initial disclosures, those must be served on the opposing party and not filed 20 with the Court. Local Rule 26-7. To the extent Plaintiff has documents that may support a desire to amend the complaint, then 21 he must file a proper motion for leave to amend. See Local Rule 15-1; see also Local Rule 7-2(g) (disallowing the filing of supplemental 22 pleadings without leave of court). To the extent Plaintiff has documents that he believes support a matter at issue in motion 23 practice, then the documents must be filed in briefing that motion practice. In short, Plaintiff is not permitted to file rogue "supplements" on the docket. 24 Docket No. 33 at 3 (granting motion to strike). Despite this clear directive, it appears that Plaintiff is continuing to engage in the same practice but has simply changed the name of the vehicle 26 27 ¹ As Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding without an attorney, the Court construes his filings 28 liberally. *Blaisdell v. Frappiea*, 729 F.3d 1237, 1241 (9th Cir. 2013).

1 attempting to do so. The Court concludes that, for the reasons already provided, the pending 2 motion to "Admit Additional Discovery" is an improper attempt to use the docket as a repository for miscellaneous documents. Accordingly, the motion to "Admit Additional Discovery" is **DENIED**. Moreover, that 5 motion and the exhibits thereto are **STRICKEN**. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: December 21, 2021 Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge